# There is much to understand when studying a life cycle cost comparison for paper stock pumps 

## CONSIDER THE TOTAL COST

Itis a best practice to consider the total cost of ownership or the life cycle cost of a pump. The major components included in the cost of ownership are the initial cost, installation cost, operating cost and maintenance cost. One sub-segment of the life cycle cost is associated with the cost of sealing the pump. This white paper will identify the costs associated with sealing a pump, different sealing methods, and will compare their respective costs during the pump's life cycle.

A sealing method is required for most pumps except for magnetically driven pumps and canned rotor pumps. A sealing method must be incorporated to seal between the rotating shaft and the static stuffing box cover in order to prevent pressurized liquid from escaping.

Option 1: Packing: Packing is the original method

of sealing a pump. The compressible fiber is formed to stuff the annular space between the stuffing box cover and the shaft. Packing requires lubrication and cooling to prevent excess heat generation by friction. It is normally recommended by the pump manufacturer to flush the packing with water and let it leak at a rate of 50 to 60 drops per minute. Packing requires a constant supply of clean water and periodic attention of an operator.

Options 2 and 3: Single and double mechanical seals: Mechanical seals are another method of sealing a pump; special attention is needed to seal a paper stock pump. A regular single seal will most likely fail in a paper stock environment without a clean water flush, which provides a clean environment and lubrication between the seal faces. A carbon or Teflon throat bushing is used at the bottom of the stuffing

box cover in order to prevent stock fiber from entering the seal chamber. A throat bushing alone will not prevent the fiber from reentering the seal chamber. A good rule of thumb is to maintain a flush water flow rate that provides a $15-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ velocity across the throat bushing in order to keep stock fiber or other solids from migrating into the seal chamber.

Theoretically, a 3 -in. seal requires about $2.45 \mathrm{gal} /$ min of water to maintain $15 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ velocity through the bushing clearance. Some seal manufacturers recommend using $1 \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{min}$ per inch of seal size as a rule of thumb. Other seal manufacturers have invented special throat bushings with spiral interna grooves to remove solids from the seal chamber They also claim that the flush water requirement can
be drastically reduced by using such bushings. One example is the SpiralTractM by EnviroSeal.

The external clean liquid flush to a single seal is often referred as the CPI Plan 7332 or simply th Flush Plan 32. A simple Plan 32 consists of a flowregulating valv Y . include 0 alve, $l$-strainer and piping. It may auges, flow indicator, a shut off alve.

Double mechanical seals are also success-
fully used in sealing paper stock pumps. A double mechanical seal consists of two single seals mounted back to back. Pressurized barrier fluid is required for the double seal arrangement, therefore Flush Plan 5 or Plan 53 are used in coniunion Flush Plan 54 includes nining and fittings to

Plan 32 requires a constant supply of pressurized water.


## Plan 32 single Seals

provide barrier fluid to the double mechanical seal. It may also include the combination of a flow meter, flow or pressure control valves, and a flow indicator. Flush Plan 54 is the responsibility of the customer

Plan 53, normally the responsibility of the pump manufacturer, consists of a pressurized reservoir that usually contains a fluid such as Glycol, heat transfer fluid or vegetable oil. However, none of these fluids are allowed in paper stock applications as they can contaminate an entire batch of pulp if the inner seal fails. Using plain water as a barrier fluid might also be prohibited in geographical locations where the ambi ent temperature can reach below freezing.

Option 4: Dynamic seals: Dynamic seals are another means of sealing without flush water. Hydrodynamic sealing or dynamic seals, were developed in the 1940s by the leading pump manufacturers of the day. It is a zero flush sealing method for paper stock pumps that is now preferred by many customers.
In a hydrodynamic sealing method, an expeller or repeller is installed behind the impeller inside the or repeller is installed behind the impelier inside the
cavity of a modified stuffing box cover, and normally separated by a backplate. During the pump opera tion the pumping action of the expeller reduces the pressure at the stuffing box cover. Depending on the suction pressure, the centrifugal action of the expelle can create a slight vacuum and draw a small amount of air into the expeller cavity. As the air fills the cavity, the pumping action is reduced and equilibrium between the liquid and air phase is established.

Hydrodynamic sealing is only possible during the operation of the pump. During the idle condition, a secondary sealing method must be incorporated to prevent leakage from the static pressure of the
suction head. Typical secondary sealing may include diaphragm seal, check-seal, lip-seal, or three rings of self-lubricating packing.

A dynamic seal eliminates the need for external flush water, but it is very limited in sealing higher suc-


| Table 1 - Reliability issues with flush water |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plan 32 | Plan 53-Pressurized air | Plan 54 |
| - Pump is remotely located | - Pump is remotely located | - Pump is remotely located |
| - Freezing problems | - Limitation of types of barrier fluid | - Freezing problems |
| (only water can be used) | - Clogging problems |  |



## Zero Flush Water



Patented Vane Particle Ejector Springs not in fluid
Figs 6 - No-flush mechanical seal vane particle ejector ring
tion pressure. It becomes impractical when the pump speed is too low or driven by a variable frequency drive (VFD). A standby or idle pump with a dynamic seal may dewater and dry between the small clearances. A water flush may be required during a restart of the pump. Hence a pump with a dynamic seal may not be considered completely flushless. Issues with flush water line clogging and freezing remain a concern. In addition, since the expeller is like a small impeller, it absorbs power and therefore increases operating costs.

Option 5: No-flush mechanical seal vane particle ejector ring: In the early 1990s, ITT Goulds Pumps developed a TaperBore ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PLUS seal chambe with Vane Particle Fjector (VPETM ring) The VPE ring with Vane , static vanes of he ing induce from the seal chamber and eliminate solid particles, vapor an air bubbles from it. The process also refurbishes the seal chamber with clean liquid.

With the success of the VPE ring in chemical and general industry applications, in 1999 ITT Goulds Pumps initiated tests of the VPE ring in a paper stock application. A 500 -hour test was performed on an end-suction pump in Goulds Pumps' R\&D paper stock test loop.

The pump was fitted with a slurry seal with tungsten carbide faces and aVPE ring. The test included simulation of a mill outage by shutting down the pump during the weekend and turning it on Monday morn during the weekend and turning it on Monday mornt. The wase any point of the test. The seal was disassembled and under a thorough analysis the seal revealed no signs of abnormal wear

Eight years ago, one pump in the field pumping $5 \%$ paper stock was retrofitted with a slurry seal (John Crane 5870) and the VPE ring. A few years later, several pumps were converted with the same sealing arrangement. The original pump is still running after eight years. The pumps that were retrofitted later have now been running for six years. (The six-year average is not due to the mean time between failures (MTBF); most of the conversions are not older than six years.)

To compare the economics of each sealing method a thorough lifecycle cost of all sealing meth ods was calculated and graphed to present a visual comparison.
life cycle cost calculation METHODS

- Sealing costs are calculated for an end-suction pump with single seal and 3 -in. sleeve OD.
- Pump operates $24 \mathrm{hr} /$ day, 7 days per week.
- Life of the pump is 20 years.
- Cumulative cost or life cycle is defined as the total expenses related to sealing the pump for the 20 year estimated life. This includes the initial cost of the sealing device, and its operational costs includ
ing power consumption and the cost of flush wate. It also includes the replacement cost of the sealing device, any periodic maintenance costs, and the cost of any spares directly related to the sealing method
- A spreadsheet was created to calculate the costs. - A simple series was assumed without calculating the Net PresentValue of the costs.
- $70 \%$ efficiency was assumed for the plant water (seal water) pump to calculate power consumption for producing 80 PSI flush water.

| Table 2-Life cycle cost assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Packing | 3 -inch single cartridge seal with silicon carbide faces, carbon restricting bushing and Plan 32 | 3-inch split seal with 316SS special bushing, internal groove and Plan 32 | Dynamic seal | TaperBore ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PLUS seal chamber with VPETM ring and John Crane 5870 cartridge seal-no flush |
| Initial cost | Packing- $\$ 80$ <br> Sleeve- $\$ 866$ <br> Lantern ring- $\$ 174$ <br> TOTAL- $\$ 1,090$ | \$1,675 | Single cartridge with split mechanical seal and silicon carbide faces- $\$ 2,848$ Special 316SS restricting bushing with internal grooves- $\$ 1,200$ TOTAL- $\$ 4,048$ | Dynamic seal-\$5,611 <br> Sleeve- $\$ 1,281$ <br> Diaphragm- $\$ 63$ <br> Expeller- $\$ 4,250$ <br> TOTAL- $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 2 0 5}$ | Slurry seal- $\$ 3,162$ VPE ring- $\$ 705$ TOTAL-\$3,867 |
| Replacement interval | Packing-6 months Sleeve and lantern ing-1 year | 2 years | 4 years | 5 years | 6 years |
| Replacement labor-hours | Packing-1 <br> Sleeve and lantern ring-4 | 4 | 4 | None (Replaced during major overhauls) | 4 |
| Sealing watergallons per minute* | . 5 | 2 | . 25 | None | None |
| Seal drag** | None | $\begin{aligned} & .38 \mathrm{HP} \text { at } \\ & 1,750 \mathrm{rpm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .38 \mathrm{HP} \mathrm{at} \\ & 1,750 \mathrm{rpm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \mathrm{HP} \text { at } \\ & 1,750 \mathrm{rpm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .38 \mathrm{HP} \text { at } \\ & 1,750 \mathrm{rpm} \end{aligned}$ |
| *U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |  |  |  | losing force of the mech | hanical seal faces. |

- The chart was created using Years of Operation as the horizontal axis and Cumulative Cost as the vertical axis.

It is important to be open minded about these cost assumptions. Costs can vary according to pump size, manufacturer and customer discount levels. In addition, the cost of water and utilities may vary from country to country, state to state and plant to plant. However, the relative trends revealed by this analysis provide an accurate guideline.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Over a 20 -year period of operation, running a single seal with throat bushing and Plan 32 is the most expensive sealing method.
- Next in cumulative cost is for single split with SpiralTrac ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and Plan 32.
- Although packing shows low initial cost and lower operating cost, packing requires constant attention

by mill personnel. It leaks continually and causes wet flooring around the pump.
- Initial cost of dynamic seal is higher. Dynamic seal also consumes higher power. But the 20 -year life cycle cost is actually lower than single seal and packing. However, there are limitations on suction pressure with dynamic seal, especially when the pump is driven byVFD or at lower speed.
- TaperBore ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ PLUS Seal chamber with VPE ring and John Crane 5870 Cartridge Seal requires moderate initial investment, but the 20-year life cycle cost is lower than the other sealing methods

TaperBore ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PLUS Seal chamber with VPE ring and John Crane 5870 cartridge seal advantages:

- 20-year life cycle cost is lowest among the other sealing methods
- Lower initial investment than dynamic seal
- High P-V (Pressure-Velocity) Limit. Can handle much higher suction pressure
- No flush water requirement
- No instrument air or nitrogen bottle requirement
- Pump can be remotely located without worry
- No freezing or clogging issues related to flush water
- Much lower power consumption than dynamic seal, resulting in higher overall pump efficiency
- No secondary sealing. No lip seal or elastomeric diaphragm seal

Regardless of the variation in initial cost for each sealing method, power and water costs, and use of any flush, will increase the cost of sealing in the long run. That is the ultimate finding of this exercise.

1. SpiralTrac ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ is a trademark of EnviroSeal.
2.TaperBore ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ PLUS is a trademark of ITT Goulds Pumps.
2. $\mathrm{VPE}^{\mathrm{Tan}}$ is a trademark of ITT Goulds Pumps. PPI
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